Telephone (Mesa): (480)-985-4000 ◆ Fax (Mesa): (480) 985-7552

26

27

28

1630 S. Stapley Drive, Suite 212, Mesa, Arizona 85204

7319 North 16th Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85020

GILLESPIE, SHIELDS, GOLDFARB & TAYLOR

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff, Arthur Miller, is a resident of Maricopa County, Arizona.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3. Defendants ABC Corporations 1-10; XYZ Partnerships 1-10; Other Businesses 1-10 are fictitiously named herein as Defendants and agents/employees of Defendant, which Defendants my later be joined as such time their true and correct identities are discovered.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1367(a).
- 5. Venue is proper in the District of Arizona under 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 6. On or about September 8, 2015, Plaintiff was employed with UPS as a Preloader.
 - 7. In June 2016, Plaintiff was promoted to a Part-Time Preload Supervisor.
 - 8. Plaintiff voluntarily resigned from such position on or about July 7, 2017.
- 9 On or about October 13, 2020, Plaintiff was re-hired as seasonal (temporary)
 Casual Package Car Driver during UPS's peak season until on or about January 3, 2021.
- 10. During the course of these employments with UPS, Plaintiff was subject to criminal background checks and found eligible for hiring despite his previous felony conviction(s).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

11.	Shortly after the end of his temporary position, Plaintiff learned there was
an open pos	ition at the Phoenix Air Gateway Center, and he was eligible for re-hiring
notwithstand	ling his previous criminal history.

- 12. As a requirement to work at the airport facility, Plaintiff underwent a background check with the FBI and TSA, which he passed.
- 13. On or about February 25, 2021. Plaintiff received his badge and began to work as part-time Air Ramp person on or about March 1, 2021, from 04:00 am until approximately 09:00 am.
- 14. Only after one day work, on or about March 1, 2021, around 2.30 pm, Plaintiff received a phone call from an unidentified HR person at UPS communicating he was immediately terminated for failing to pass the background check submitted with UPS.
- 15. Plaintiff requested explanations regarding this unexpected decision, but UPS refused to provide any.
- 16. Based upon information and beliefs, UPS has a history of hiring individuals with previous criminal background.
- 17. Plaintiff did not receive the background check report up until March 11, 2022.
- 18. On May 17, 2021, Plaintiff filed a Charge of Discrimination (the "Charge") with the Office of the Arizona Attorney General – Division of Civil Rights Section (the "Division").
- 19. Plaintiff believed the reason for his termination was because he is a Hispanic person with previous criminal records.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

20. At the end of the investigation, the Division issued Plaintiff the Notice of Right to Sue on March 10, 2022.

COUNT I

Violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act (Title IV of The Consumer Credit Protection Act - 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681-1681x)

- 21. UPS claims Plaintiff was terminated in response of Plaintiff's failure to pass the criminal background check.
 - 22. Plaintiff was terminated over the phone on March 1, 2021.
- 23. UPS did not provide Plaintiff a pre-adversion action letter detailing the potential adverse action and what information lead to such decision.
- 24. Plaintiff was not allowed reasonable time to dispute the finding of the background check.
 - Plaintiff received an adverse action letter only on or about March 11, 2021. 25.
 - 26. UPS violated terms contained in the Fair Credit Reporting Act.
 - 27. Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendant's violation(s).

COUNT II

Violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (National origin/race discrimination)

- 28. UPS already employed Plaintiff in the past despite Defendant was aware of his previous criminal conviction(s).
- 29. Plaintiff successfully passed the background checks for his previous employments with UPS.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 31. Plaintiff believes UPS treated him differently from other employees with criminal records because of his national origin/race (Hispanic).
- 32. Plaintiff believes UPS's criminal record exclusion policy operates to disproportionately exclude people of a particular race or national origin.
- 33. UPS did not give Plaintiff the opportunity to show why he should have not been terminated.
 - 34. Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendant's violation(s).

COUNT III

Wrongful termination (A.R.S. 23-1501)

- 35. UPS already employed Plaintiff in the past despite Defendant was aware of his previous criminal conviction(s).
- 36. Plaintiff successfully passed the background checks for his previous employments with UPS.
- 37. Upon information and believes, UPS has a history of hiring workers with previous criminal records for the same location Plaintiff was terminated.
- 38. Plaintiff believes UPS treated him differently from other employees with criminal records because of his national origin/race (Hispanic).
- 39. Plaintiff believes he was wrongfully terminated because of his national origin/race (Hispanic).

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	40.	Plaintiff	1S	entitled	to	damages	as	a	result	of	Detendant's	wrongtu
termin	ation.											

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court for the following:

- A. Declaring the acts and practices complained of herein are in violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act and/or Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964;
- B. General damages and compensatory damages to be proven at trial, including, but not limited to:
 - i. Back pay in an amount to be proven a trial,
 - ii. Front pay in an amount to be proven a trial,
 - The value of lost benefits; iii.
 - C. Damages pursuant to the Fair Credit Reporting Act;
 - Punitive damages pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; D.
 - E. Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;
 - F. Attorney's fees and costs of suit;
 - For such other relief to which Plaintiff may be entitled and this Court deems H. just and proper.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 16th day of May 2022

GILLESPIE, SHIELDS, **GOLDFARB & TAYLOR**

By: /s/ Marco Costa Marco Costa Attorney for Plaintiff